Ø1008

Appin. No. 10/502,109

Attorney Docket No. 10555-46

II. Remarks

Claims 1-6 stand rejected and claim 7 is objected to. Claim 1 is being

cancelled. Claims 2-7 are being amended. Accordingly, after entering this

amendment, claims 2-19 remain pending.

Reconsideration of this application in view of the above amendments and

the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Allowable Subject Matter

The undersigned acknowledges the Examiner's allowance of claims 8-19

and the indication of the allowability of claim 7 if rewritten in independent form to

include all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Accordingly, claim 7 is being re-presented in independent form including the

limitation of the base claim (claim 1). Specifically, claim 7 requires a second p-

type semiconductor layer with a graded doping concentration along the path of

carriers. The graded doping concentration defines a first concentration adjacent

to the first p-type semiconductor layer and a second concentration adjacent to

the n-type semiconductor layer. The first concentration is greater than the

second concentration.

Further, claim 1 has been cancelled, and the dependencies of claims 2-6

have been amended accordingly to depend from claim 7.

In view of the above, it is submitted that claims 2-7, along with claims 8-

19, are allowable and such action is respectfully requested.

BRINKS HOFER GILSON BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE PO Box 10395

Chicago, IL 60610

Appln. No. 10/502,109

Attorney Docket No. 10555-46

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 1-3 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,581,087 to Uddin et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,625,225 to Goodfellow et al. (Goodfellow), and claims 4-6 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over J.S. Patent No. 4,887,134 to Saito in view of Goodfellow.

As discussed above, claim 7 has been re-written in allowable form including the limitations of claim 1, and claim 1 has been cancelled. Further, claims 2-6 have been amended to depend from claim 7.

Accordingly, reconsideration of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) and the allowance of claims 2-6 are respectfully requested.

Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present form of the claims (claims 2-19) are patentably distinguishable over the art of record and that this application is now in condition for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted by,

Dated: Jan 24, 2006

√John M. Card

Reg. No.: 48,423

Attorney for Applicant(s)

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE PO Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60610